# Computational Principles for High-dim Data Analysis (Lecture Twelve)

#### Yi Ma

EECS Department, UC Berkeley

October 7, 2021



Ma (EECS Department, UC Berkeley)

EECS208, Fall 2021

# Decomposing Low-Rank and Sparse Matrices (Principal Component Pursuit: Extensions)

- 1 Variants of Principal Component Pursuit
- 2 Stable Principal Component Pursuit
- 3 Compressive Principal Component Pursuit
- 4 Matrix Completion with Corrupted Entries
- **5** Summary and Generalizations

"The whole is greater than the sum of the parts." – Aristotle, Metaphysics

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

## PCP and its Variants

Given  $Y = L_o + S_o$  with  $L_o$  low-rank and  $S_o$  sparse, PCP solves:

minimize  $\|L\|_* + \lambda \|S\|_1$  subject to L + S = Y. (1)

- $\lambda$  can be adaptive to the density  $\rho_s$  of  $S_o$ , for the range  $0 \le \rho_s < 1$ .
- Signs of  $S_o$  can be deterministic, with guaranteed success up to density  $\frac{1}{2}\rho_s$ .
- If  $Y = L_o + O_o$  with  $O_o$  column sparse, we solve instead:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{L},\boldsymbol{S}} \|\boldsymbol{L}\|_* + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{O}\|_{2,1} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \boldsymbol{L} + \boldsymbol{O} = \boldsymbol{Y}. \tag{2}$$

with  $\|\boldsymbol{O}\|_{2,1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \|\boldsymbol{O}_i\|_2$ . This is known as sparse outlier pursuit.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Robust PCA via outlier pursuit, Xu, Caramanis, and Sanghavi, *IEEE Transactions* on Information Theory, 2012.

Ma (EECS Department, UC Berkeley)

EECS208, Fall 2021

## Low-rank Matrix Recovery with Noise

Consider the measurement model with additive noise:

$$Y = L_o + S_o + Z_o, \tag{3}$$

where  $Z_o$  is a small error term  $||Z_o||_F \leq \epsilon$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Naturally, we solve a relaxed version to PCP (1):

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{L},\boldsymbol{S}} \|\boldsymbol{L}\|_* + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{S}\|_1 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \|\boldsymbol{Y} - \boldsymbol{L} - \boldsymbol{S}\|_F \le \epsilon. \tag{4}$$

where we choose  $\lambda = 1/\sqrt{n}$ .

#### This combines classic PCA and robust PCA.

- 小田 ト イヨト イヨ

# Stability of PCP

#### Theorem (Stability of PCP to Bounded Noise)

Under the same assumptions of PCP, that is,  $L_o$  obeys the incoherence conditions and the support of  $S_o$  is uniformly distributed of size m. Then if  $L_o$  and  $S_o$  satisfy

$$\operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{L}_o) \le \frac{\rho_r n}{\nu \log^2 n} \quad and \quad m \le \rho_s n^2,$$
 (5)

with  $\rho_r, \rho_s > 0$  being sufficiently small numerical constants, with high probability in the support of  $S_o$ , for any  $Z_o$  with  $||Z_o||_F \le \epsilon$ , the solution  $(\hat{L}, \hat{S})$  to the convex program (4) satisfies

$$\|\hat{\boldsymbol{L}} - \boldsymbol{L}_o\|_F^2 + \|\hat{\boldsymbol{S}} - \boldsymbol{S}_o\|_F^2 \le C\epsilon^2,$$
(6)

where the constant  $C = (16\sqrt{5}n + \sqrt{2})^2$  (which is not tight).

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

#### Other Variants

If the magnitude of the low-rank component  $L_o$  is bounded, one could obtain better estimates by solving a *Lasso-type* program:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{L},\boldsymbol{S}} \left\| \boldsymbol{L} \right\|_* + \lambda \left\| \boldsymbol{S} \right\|_1 + \frac{\mu}{2} \left\| \boldsymbol{L} + \boldsymbol{S} - \boldsymbol{Y} \right\|_F^2 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \left\| \boldsymbol{L} \right\|_\infty < \alpha.$$
(7)

The same analysis also applies to the stable version of the *outlier pursuit* program (2):

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{L},\boldsymbol{O}} \|\boldsymbol{L}\|_* + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{O}\|_{2,1} + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\boldsymbol{L} + \boldsymbol{O} - \boldsymbol{Y}\|_F^2 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \|\boldsymbol{L}\|_{\infty} < \alpha.$$
(8)

Both programs recover stable estimates for L and S with an error less than  $C\epsilon^2$  where C does not depend on  $n.^2$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Noisy matrix decomposition via convex relaxation: optimal rates in high dimensions, Agarwal, Negahban, and Wainwright. *The Annals of Statistics*, 2012.  $\Xi \rightarrow 4\Xi \rightarrow 300$ 

# Low-rank Matrix Recovery with Compressive Measurements

We are given only compressive linear measurements of a corrupted low-rank matrix:

$$\boldsymbol{Y} \doteq \mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{Q}}[\boldsymbol{L}_o + \boldsymbol{S}_o], \tag{9}$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_{Q}$  is a projection operator onto a subspace:

 $\mathsf{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2}.$ 



Consider the natural convex program

 $\min \|\boldsymbol{L}\|_* + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{S}\|_1 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{Q}}[\boldsymbol{L} + \boldsymbol{S}] = \boldsymbol{Y}, \tag{10}$ 

which is known as compressive principal component pursuit (CPCP).

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# Example: Transformed Low-rank Texture (Ch. 15)

An image of a low-rank texture from an arbitrary view:  $I \circ \tau = L + E$ . To find out the correct deformation  $\tau$ , solve:

 $\min_{\boldsymbol{L},\boldsymbol{E},\tau} \|\boldsymbol{L}\|_* + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{E}\|_1 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \boldsymbol{I} \circ \tau = \boldsymbol{L} + \boldsymbol{E}.$ 



But this is nonlinear/nonconvex! Linearizing w.r.t. the deformation:

$$\boldsymbol{I} \circ \boldsymbol{\tau} + \nabla \boldsymbol{I} \cdot d\boldsymbol{\tau} \approx \boldsymbol{L} + \boldsymbol{E},$$

Let Q be the left kernel of the Jacobian  $\nabla I$ :  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{Q}}[\nabla I] = 0$ , so we have:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{Q}}[\boldsymbol{I}\circ\tau] = \mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{Q}}[\boldsymbol{L}+\boldsymbol{E}]. \tag{11}$$

Hence incrementally solve  $d\tau$  via a convex program (CPCP):

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{L},\boldsymbol{E},d\tau} \|\boldsymbol{L}\|_* + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{E}\|_1 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{Q}}[\boldsymbol{I} \circ \tau] = \mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{Q}}[\boldsymbol{L} + \boldsymbol{E}]. \tag{12}$$

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

# Theoretical Guarantee for CPCP

#### Theorem (Compressive PCP)

Let  $L_o, S_o \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2}$ , with  $n_1 \ge n_2$ , and suppose that  $L_o \ne 0$  is a rank-r,  $\nu$ -incoherent matrix with  $r \le \frac{c_r n_2}{\nu \log^2 n_1}$ , and  $\operatorname{sign}(S_o)$  is iid Bernoulli-Rademacher with nonzero probability  $\rho < c_{\rho}$ . Let  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2}$ be a random subspace of dimension

$$\dim(\mathbf{Q}) \geq C_{\mathbf{Q}} \cdot (\rho n_1 n_2 + n_1 r) \cdot \log^2 n_1 \tag{13}$$

distributed according to the Haar measure, independent of sign( $S_o$ ). Then with probability at least  $1 - Cn_1^{-9}$  in (sign( $S_o$ ), Q), the solution to

$$\min \left\| \boldsymbol{L} \right\|_{*} + \lambda \left\| \boldsymbol{S} \right\|_{1} \quad s.t. \quad \mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{Q}}[\boldsymbol{L} + \boldsymbol{S}] = \mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{Q}}[\boldsymbol{L}_{o} + \boldsymbol{S}_{o}] \tag{14}$$

with  $\lambda = 1/\sqrt{n_1}$  is unique, and equal to  $(\mathbf{L}_o, \mathbf{S}_o)$ . Above,  $c_r, c_\rho, C_Q, C$  are positive numerical constants.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Incomplete and Corrupted Low-rank Matrix

Imagine we only observe a fraction entries of a corrupted matrix  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{L}_o + \mathbf{S}_o$  on a support  $O \sim Ber(\rho_o)$ . Hence the measurement model is:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{O}}[\boldsymbol{Y}] = \mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{O}}[\boldsymbol{L}_o + \boldsymbol{S}_o] = \mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{O}}[\boldsymbol{L}_o] + \boldsymbol{S}'_o.$$

A natural convex program to solve here is:



minimize 
$$\|L\|_* + \lambda \|S\|_1$$
  
subject to  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{O}}[L+S] = \mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{O}}[Y].$  (15)

#### This combines matrix completion and robust PCA.

## Theoretical Guarantee

#### Theorem (Matrix Completion with Corruptions)

Suppose  $L_o$  is  $n \times n$ , obeys the incoherence conditions. Suppose  $\rho_0 > C_0 \frac{\nu r \log^2 n}{n}$  and  $\rho_s \leq C_s$ , and let  $\lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_0 n \log n}}$ . Then the optimal solution to the convex program (15) is exactly  $L_o$  and  $S'_o$  with probability at least  $1 - Cn^{-3}$  for some constant C, provided the constants  $C_0$  is large enough and  $C_s$  is small enough.

- Robust PCA: If  $\rho_0 = 1$ , the above condition  $1 > C_0 \frac{\nu r \log^2 n}{n}$  gives  $r < C_0^{-1} n \nu^{-1} (\log n)^{-2}$  for small enough  $C_0^{-1}$ , the condition for robust PCA.
- Matrix Completion: if  $\rho_s = 0$ , the above theorem guarantees perfect recovery as long as  $\rho_0 > C_0 \frac{\nu r \log^2 n}{n}$  for large enough  $C_0$ , the condition for matrix completion.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

## Example: Photometric Stereo (Ch. 14)

Recovering 3D shape of an object from images under different lightings.

Input images





## Summary: Sparse & Low-Rank

Sparse v.s. Low-rank	Sparse Vector	Low-rank Matrix
Low-dimensionality of	individual signal $x$	a set of signals $X$
Low-dim measure	$\ell^0$ norm $\ oldsymbol{x}\ _0$	$rank(oldsymbol{X})$
Convex surrogate	$\ell^1$ norm $\ oldsymbol{x}\ _1$	nuclear norm $\ oldsymbol{X}\ _*$
Compressive sensing	$oldsymbol{y} = oldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{x}$	$oldsymbol{Y} = \mathcal{A}(oldsymbol{X})$
Stable recovery	$oldsymbol{y} = oldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{x} + oldsymbol{z}$	$oldsymbol{Y} = \mathcal{A}(oldsymbol{X}) + oldsymbol{Z}$
Error correction	$oldsymbol{y} = oldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{x} + oldsymbol{e}$	$oldsymbol{Y} = \mathcal{A}(oldsymbol{X}) + oldsymbol{E}$
Recovery of mixed structures	$\mathcal{P}_{Q}[oldsymbol{Y}] = \mathcal{P}_{Q}[oldsymbol{L}_o + oldsymbol{S}_o] + oldsymbol{Z}$	

"An idea which can be used once is a trick. If one can use it more than once it becomes a method."

- George Pólya and Gábor Szegö

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# General Low-Dim Structures (Ch. 6)

#### Definition (Atomic Gauge)

The atomic gauge associated with a dictionary  $\mathcal D$  is the function

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_{\mathcal{D}} \doteq \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{i} \mid \alpha_{1}, \dots, \alpha_{k} \geq 0, \ \boldsymbol{d}_{1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{d}_{k} \in \mathcal{D} \text{ s.t. } \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \boldsymbol{d}_{i} = \boldsymbol{x} \right\}.$$

To recover  $x_o$  from  $y = \mathcal{A}(x_o)$ , solve the convex minimization problem:  $\min_{x} \|x\|_{\mathcal{D}} \text{ subject to } \mathcal{A}[x] = y.$ (16)

Let D denote the *descent cone* of the atomic norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}}$  at  $x_o$ . Then

•  $\mathbb{P}[(16) \text{ recovers } \boldsymbol{x}_o] \leq C \exp\left(-c \frac{(\delta(\mathsf{D})-m)^2}{n}\right), \qquad m \leq \delta(\mathsf{D});$ 

• 
$$\mathbb{P}[(16) \text{ recovers } \boldsymbol{x}_o] \geq 1 - C \exp\left(-c \frac{(m-\delta(\mathsf{D}))^2}{n}\right), \ m \geq \delta(\mathsf{D}).$$

Here  $\delta(\mathsf{D})$  is the statistical dimension of D.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

# Limitations of the Convex/Linear Framework

• Limitations of Convexification (Ch. 7): for example,

 $\boldsymbol{Y} = \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{X})$ 

where X is simultaneously sparse and low-rank, the convex relaxation  $\lambda_1 \|X\|_1 + \lambda_2 \|X\|_*$  is not optimal.

• Nonlinearity due to Domain Transformation (Ch. 15): for example,

$$Y = I$$

where  $I \circ \tau = L + S$  for a low-rank L and sparse S.

• Nonlinearity due to Nonlinear Observation (Ch. 16):

$$\boldsymbol{Y} = g(\boldsymbol{X})$$

for some nonlinear function  $g(\cdot)$  and low-dim  $oldsymbol{X}$ .

# We will deal with nonconvex and nonlinearity in later lectures.

### Assignments

- Reading: Section 5.4 5.6 of Chapter 5.
- Programming Homework #3.

э